

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/689,111              | 10/20/2003           | William L. Melbye    | 42698US059            | 4258             |  |
| 32692                   | 7590 03/08/2005      |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |  |
|                         | ATIVE PROPERTIES     | S COMPANY            | BRITTAIN, JAMES R     |                  |  |
| PO BOX 334<br>ST. PAUL. | 427<br>MN 55133-3427 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |  |
| ,                       |                      | ·                    | 3677                  |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |  | /         |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| \   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | X         |  |  |  |
|   | 10/689,111   | MELBYE   | '         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |           |  |  |  |
|   | James R. Brittain  | 3677   |           |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | n appears on the cover sheet wit   | th the correspondence address  | S         |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon.  The property is a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB. | eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commur  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | 20 October 2003  |  |           |  |  |  |
| ·— ·  | This action is non-final.  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a   |  | ers, prosecution as to the me  | rits is   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice ur   | ·  | •  |           |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| •   | eation   |  |           |  |  |  |
| •   | <ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>   |  |           |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | and/or election requirement.   |  |           |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex   | aminer   |  |           |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003  |  | biected to by the Examiner.  |           |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the  |  |  | .121(d).  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &  | . 119(a)-(d) or (f)  |           |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  | reigh phonty under 05 0.0.0. 3   | 110(a) (a) or (i).   |           |  |  |  |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu   | iments have been received.   |  |           |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docu  |  | pplication No.   |           |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the  |  |  | је        |  |  |  |
| application from the International E  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for   | a list of the certified copies not   | received.  |           |  |  |  |
| ·   |  |  | -         |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | summary (PTO-413)  |           |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9  |  | s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application (PTO-152  | 1         |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03182004</u>.</li> </ol>  | SB/08) 5) 1 Notice of 17   |  | ,         |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3677 .

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 and 9-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5077870.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the use of headed stems would have been obvious over the teaching in the patent of mushroom heads.

Claims 7 and 8 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5077870 in view of Kalleberg (US 4290174). Further modification of the teachings of claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5077870 such that the substrate is polypropylene would have been obvious in view of Kalleberg describing in the example in col. 9 of a polypropylene monofilament extending from a polypropylene layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3677

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalleberg (US 4290174) in view of Nealis (US 3270408).

Kalleberg (figures 2, 4) teaches hook strip structure including monofilament stems 13 with mushroom heads consisting of a longitudinally oriented polymeric material and a backing 12. The difference is that the base is not homogeneous and the longitudinally orientation of the polymeric material is not stated as having a birefringence value of at least 0.001. However, Nealis (figures 1-5) teaches that it is desirable to configure the substrate in a homogeneous manner because it is a simpler form which can be easily and inexpensively produced (col. 1, lines 20-22). As it would be beneficial to make the fastener of Kalleberg in a less expensive manner, it would have been obvious to modify the fastener of Kalleberg so that the backing is homogeneous in view of Nealis teaching that it is desirable to do so in order to have a fastener that can be inexpensively produced. As to claim 7, the example described in col. 9 of Kalleberg indicates a polypropylene monofilament extending from a polypropylene layer. In regard to the dimensions and geometries as claimed, these are obvious over the dimensions and geometries of the fasteners of Kalleberg and Nealis. In regard to claim 9, applicant is given Official Notice that the winding of the hook strip in a roll is a common expedient to store a linear member in the same fashion as the well-known roll of adhesive tape.

## Conclusion

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (703) 308-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

**JRB**